MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

IVE

SOUTHWEST ORTHOPAEDIC & RECONSTRUCTIVE SPECIALIST

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

MFDR Tracking Number

Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-15-4128-01

Box Number 54

Respondent Name

MFDR Date Received

AUGUST 21, 2015

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "This letter is being written at the request of our customer to confirm that the filing dates shown in the Centricity Practice Management software are not editable by the user."

Amount in Dispute: \$140.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The following is the carrier's statement with respect to this dispute of 10/30/2014 to 10/30/2014...SOUTHWEST ORTHOPAEDIC submitted a screen print from its billing system showing the date transmitted 1/27/15, of the 10/30/14 bill. However, the print does not indicate the transmission was electronic. Thus, 'date transmitted' cannot be construed as date mailed, which SOUTHWEST ORTHOPAEDIC has not been able to prove...No payment is due."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
October 30, 2014	CPT Code 99214 Office Visit	\$140.00	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. Texas Labor Code §408.027, effective September 1, 2007, sets out the rules for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 2. Texas Labor Code §408.0272, effective September 1, 2007, provides for exceptions for timely submission of a claim by a health care provider.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20, effective January 29, 2009, 34 *Texas Register* 430, sets out the procedure for healthcare providers submitting medical bills.
- 4. The services in dispute were reduced / denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - CAC-29-The time limit for filing has expired.

• 731-Per 133.20 provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the 95th day after the date the service, for services on or after 9/1/05.

Issues

Did the requestor support position that the disputed bills were submitted timely?

Findings

According to the explanation of benefits, the respondent denied reimbursement for the services in dispute based upon reason code "29."

Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) states "A health care provider shall submit a claim for payment to the insurance carrier not later than the 95th day after the date on which the health care services are provided to the injured employee. Failure by the health care provider to timely submit a claim for payment constitutes a forfeiture of the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment."

The respondent states "SOUTHWEST ORTHOPAEDIC submitted a screen print from its billing system showing the date transmitted 1/27/15, of the 10/30/14 bill. However, the print does not indicate the transmission was electronic. Thus, 'date transmitted' cannot be construed as date mailed, which SOUTHWEST ORTHOPAEDIC has not been able to prove."

28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4(h), states "Unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, written communications shall be deemed to have been sent on: (1) the date received, if sent by fax, personal delivery or electronic transmission or, (2) the date postmarked if sent by mail via United States Postal Service regular mail, or, if the postmark date is unavailable, the later of the signature date on the written communication or the date it was received minus five days. If the date received minus five days is a Sunday or legal holiday, the date deemed sent shall be the next previous day which is not a Sunday or legal holiday." A review of the submitted documentation does not contain any evidence such as a fax, personal delivery, electronic transmission, or certified green cards to support the bill was sent to the respondent.

The Division finds that the requestor did not submit any documentation to support that the disputed bills were submitted timely in accordance with Texas Labor Code §408.027(a). As a result, reimbursement is not recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

		09/11/2015	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.